Biomimetic Synthesis of Illicium Oligomeric **Neolignans**†

Lai-King Sy and Geoffrey D. Brown*

Chemistry Department, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Rd, Hong Kong

Six products have been isolated from the oxidative coupling of 4-allylphenol in the presence of Fe^{III}; the distribution of products suggests that oligomeric neolignans associated with the family Illicium may also be non-enzymic products of oxidative coupling.

The genus *Illicium* is characterised by a variety of oligomeric neolignans which are linked through the aromatic ring (C_6) : these include *ortho,ortho* (o,o) -linked dimers^{1,2} [magnolol 1; 2,2'-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-5,5'-di(prop-2-enyl) biphenyl 2 and dehydrodieugenol 3], o , o -linked trimers² (dunnianol 4; macrathanol 5 and simonsinol 6), dimers and trimers involving o , O-linkage (isomagnolone⁴ 7 and isodunnianol^{1,2} 8) and a o, o -/o, p-linked trimer 9.⁵ [A few neolignans have also been reported which are coupled through the phenylpropanoid 'tail' (C_3) .]⁶

Gottlieb has proposed that oxidative coupling reactions might account for the biosynthesis of a wide range of neolignans⁷ although there has been relatively little experimental work to validate this hypothesis.⁸ Consequently, we decided to subject 4-allylphenol 10, a putative biogenetic precursor to the Illicium neolignans, to oxidative coupling in vitro in order to compare the distribution of products formed with that reported in vivo. The oxidative coupling of phenols is a well established process⁹ and Ho et al. have previously described a photochemical oxidative coupling of 4-allylphenol which yielded magnolol 1^{10} (magnolol has also been prepared by coupling of aromatic halides in the presence of Pd^{0}).¹¹ Anodic oxidations of 2-methoxy-4allylphenol and 2,6-dimethoxy-4-allylphenol are reported to yield complex mixtures of dimeric products.^{12,13} We herein report the results of the oxidative coupling of 4-allylphenol in the presence of Fe^{III} .

4-Allylphenol 10, required for oxidative coupling studies, was obtained in 46% yield by treatment of commercially available 4-allylanisole with boron tribromide.¹⁴ Treatment of 10 with FeCl_3^{15} produced the dimeric o,o -

Scheme 1

coupled product magnolol 1 (15%), the trimeric ρ , ρ -coupled product dunnianol 4 (2%) and the o , O-coupled product isomagnolol 11 (1%). Use of $K_3Fe(CN)_6^{16}$ in place of FeCl₃ gave a better overall yield (44%) but also resulted in a qualitatively more complex mixture of dimeric, trimeric and tetrameric $o, o-, o, O-$ and o, p -linked products $(1, 4, 11-14;$ Scheme 1), in which 4 and 12 were the major components. All compounds were characterized by NMR (compounds 12-14 are reported for the first time) and ¹H and ¹³C resonances were rigorously assigned using 2D NMR techniques (e.g. HSQC, HMBC, ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY), see Experimental section and Table 1.

The distribution of oxidatively coupled products obtained from 4-allylphenol in vitro, in which it is possible to identify dimeric, trimeric and tetrameric structures formed as a result of $o, o-, o, p-$ and o, O -coupling reactions, bears a striking resemblance to that reported for natural products from the genus Illicium, with the exception that no tetrameric neolignans have as yet been described from Nature (interestingly, however, no oligomers higher than the tetramer were isolated from in vitro oxidation). This similarity in chemistry, including the co-occurrence of compounds 1 and 4, suggests that an analogous non-enzymic oxidative coupling process, operating on 4-allylphenol in vivo, may be sufficient to account for the formation of neolignans from the Illiciaceae.

Experimental

General Methods.—Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm (δ) relative to TMS as int. standard. All NMR experiments were run on a Bruker DRX 500 instrument. Two dimensional spectra were recorded with 1024 data points in F_2 and 256 data points in F_1 . MS were recorded in EI mode at 70 eV on a Finnigan-MAT 95 MS spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded in $CHCI₃$ on a BIO-RAD FT S-7 IR spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel $60-200 \mu m$ (Merck).

J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, 476±477\$

[†]This is a Short Paper as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in J . Chem. Research (M) . *To receive any correspondence (e-mail: gdbrown@hkucc.hku.hk).

Atom ^a	Compound						
		4	10	11	12	13^b	14
1 _(C)	151.1	151.4	153.5	145.7	157.0	156.9	151.2
2 (CH)	116.7	117.2	115.5	115.9	110.1	110.3	117.0
3 (CH)	131.2	130.0	129.7	124.6	129.4	129.5	129.8
4(C)	133.1	133.3	132.1	132.6	131.0	131.5	133.1
5 (CH)	129.9	131.6	129.7	118.9	122.9	122.7	131.5
$6($ C)	123.8	126.0	115.5 (CH)	143.4	133.2	133.5	124.8
7 (CH_2)	39.4	39.7	39.2	39.4	39.6	39.4	39.4
8 (CH ₂)	137.5	137.7	137.8	137.5	137.5	137.6	137.6
9 (CH ₂)	115.8	116.0	115.3	115.7	115.7	115.8	115.8
$1'$ (C)		147.9		155.1	195.2	189.7	147.8
$2'$ (CH ₂)		125.0(C)		117.9 (CH)	38.7	39.9	126.1 (C)
$3'$ (CH)		131.8		129.9	84.6	84.2	131.7
$4'$ (C)		134.0		135.2	48.4	49.1	133.9
$5'$ (CH)		131.8		129.9	148.4	128.3	131.7
$6'$ (CH)		125.0		117.9	127.1	147.6 (C)	126.0 (C)
$7'$ (CH ₂)		39.5		39.4	40.5	41.2	39.4
8' (CH)		137.4		137.4	132.1	132.2	137.2
$9'$ (CH ₂)		116.3		115.9	119.6	119.8	116.1

Table 1 $13C$ NMR data for compounds 1, 4, 10 and 11-14

^aMultiplicity established from DEPT. ^bAdditional peaks for compound 13: 154.4 (C), C-1"; 117.9 (CH), C-2"; 129.5 (CH), C-3"; 135.1 (C), C-4"; 129.5 (CH), C-5"; 117.9 (CH), C-6"; 39.7 (CH₂), C-7"; 137.4 (CH), C-8"; 115.7 (CH₂), C-9".

Oxidative Coupling of 10 in the Presence of FeCl₃. $-A$ solution of 10 in 95% EtOH (2.5 g, 10 ml) was added dropwise to an aqueous solution of FeCl₃ (7.03 g, 625 ml). A constant stream of air was maintained through the stirred solution for 48 h and the mixture was then acidified and extracted with Et₂O. The Et₂O layer was in turn extracted with NaOH (2 M) ; following acidification of the alkaline extract, a further $Et₂O$ extract was collected which was washed, dried, concentrated under reduced pressure and subjected to gradient column chromatography to yield unreacted 10 $(1.45 g)$, 1 (323 mg), 4 (68 mg) and 11 (29 mg).

Oxidative Coupling of 10 in the Presence of $K_3Fe(CN)_6\rightarrow$ $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ solution (1.85 g, 6.4 ml, 0.88 M) was added dropwise over 30 min to a stirred solution of 10 (0.5 g) in aqueous Na_2CO_3 $(0.8 \text{ g}, 18.7 \text{ ml})$ at 0° C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, then acidified and extracted with $Et₂O$, worked up as before and subjected to gradient column chromatography to yield unreacted 10 (107 mg), 1 (59 mg, 8%), 4 (132 mg, 11%), 11 (35 mg, 5%), 12

(94 mg, 12%), 13 (54 mg, 5%) and 14 (55 mg, 4%).
 Magnolol (1).—Oil. IR (CHCl₃) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3549, 3267 (br), 3082, 3011, 2907, 1639, 1497, 1215. HREIMS m/z (intensity %): 266.1305 (100) $(M^+$, $C_{18}H_{18}O_2$ requires 266.1307), 248 (5), 247 (6), 237 (9), 225 (15). δ_H (CDCl₃): 7.12 (2 H, dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.1 Hz, H-3), 7.08 (2 H, d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, H-5), 6.94 (2 H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, H-2), 5.95 (2 H, m, H-8), 5.05 (4 H, m, H-9), 3.35 (4 H, d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, H-7).

Dunnianol (4).—Solid. Mp 133.5-134.5 °C. IR (CHCl₃) v_r $_{3x}/cm^{-1}$ 3545, 3315 (br), 3085, 3011, 2926, 1640, 1506, 1215. HREIMS m/z (intensity %): 398.1882 (75) (M^+ , C₂₇H₂₆O₃ requires 398.1882); 316 (13), 287 (100), 266 (29). δ_H (CDCl₃): 7.14 (2 H, s, H-3'/5'), 7.12 $(2 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-5}),$ 7.10 $(2 \text{ H}, \text{ dd}, J = 8.1, 2.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-3}),$ 6.92 (2 H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, H-2), 5.96 (3 H, m, H-8/8'), 5.10 (6 H, m, H-9/9'), 3.36 (6 H, d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, H-7/7').

4-Allylphenol (10).—Oil. δ_{H} (CDCl₃): 7.14 (1 H, br, s, 1-OH), 7.02 (2 H, d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, H-3/5), 6.77 (2 H, d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, H-2/6), 5.95 (1 H, m, H-8), 5.05 (2 H, m, H-9), 3.31 (2 H, d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, H-7).

Isomagnolol (11).—Oil IR (CHCl₃) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3566, 3011, 2978, 2922, 1636, 1506, 1229. HREIMS m/z (intensity %); 266.1306 (100) $(M^+$, C₁₈H₁₈O₂ requires 266.1307), 175 (8), 134 (12), 133 (12). δ_H $(CDCI_3)$: 7.15 (2 H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, H-3'/5'), 6.96 (1 H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, H-2), 6.93 (2 H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, $H = 2'(6')$, 6.84 (1 H, dd, $J = 8.2$, 1.8 Hz, H-3), 6.70 (1 H, d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, H-5), 5.95 (2 H, m, H-8/8'), 5.49 (1 H, s, 1-OH), 5.05 (4 H, m, H-9/9'), 3.36 (2 H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, H-7'), 3.24 (2 H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, H-7).

Compound 12.-Oil. IR (CHCl₃) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3013, 2905, 1686, 1639, 1487, 1250. HREIMS m/z (intensity %): 266.1305 (91) (M⁺ C18H18O2 requires 266.1307), 239 (13), 225 (100), 197 (16), 184 (14), δ_H (CDCl₃): 7.02 (1 H, d, J = 1.7 Hz, H-5), 6.99 (1 H, dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, H-3), 6.72 (1 H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, H-2), 6.49 (1 H, dd, J = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, H-5'), 5.99 (1 H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-6'), 5.94 (1 H, m, H-8), 5.78 (1 H, m, H-8'), 5.19 (2 H, m, H-9'), 5.07 (2 H, m, H-9), 4.80 (1 H, m, H-3'), 3.33 (2 H, d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, H-7), 3.00 (1 H, dd, $J = 17.6$, 2.8 Hz, H-2'), 2.79 (1 H, dd, $J = 14.2$, 6.7 Hz, H-7'). 2.74 (1 H, dd, $J = 17.6$, 4.2 Hz, H-2'), 2.66 (1 H, dd, $J = 14.2$, 8.1 Hz, H-7').

Compound 13.-Oil. IR (CHCl₃) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3078, 3011, 2914, 1697, 1643, 1607, 1502, 1225. HREIMS m/z (intensity %): 398.1882 (100) $(M^+$, $C_{27}H_{26}O_3$ requires 398.1882), 357 (8). δ_H (CDCl₃): 7.05 $(2 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-3}^{2}/5^{\prime\prime}), 7.00 \text{ (1 H}, \text{ d}, J = 8.1, \text{ H-3}), 6.92 \text{ (1 H},$ s, H-5), 6.78 (1 H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, H-2), 6.73 (2 H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, H-2"/6"), 5.95 (2 H, m, H-8/8"), 5.85 (1 H, m, H-8'), 5.81 (1 H, s, H-5'), 5.22 (2 H, m, H-9'), 5.04 (4 H, m, H-9/9"), 4.76 (1 H, m, H-3'), 3.31 (4 H, d, $J = 6.6$, H-7/7"), 3.17 (1 H, dd, $J = 17.6$, 2.9 Hz, H-2'), 2.92 (1 H, dd, $J = 17.6$, 5.0 Hz, H-2'), 2.78 (1 H, dd, $J = 14.2, 6.9$ Hz, H-7'), 2.63 (1 H, dd, $J = 14.2, 8.0$ Hz, H-7').

Compound 14.—Pink solid. mp 168 °C. IR (CHCl₃) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3528, 3275 (br), 3082, 3011, 2914, 1636, 1497, 1229. HREIMS m/z (intensity %): 530.2457 (100) (M^+ , C₃₆H₃₄O₄ requires 530.2457). δ_H $(CDC1₃)$: 7.20 (2 H, dd, $J = 2.2$ Hz, H-3'), 7.17 (2 H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, $H=5'$), 7.12 (2 H, d, $J=2.2$ Hz, H $=5$), 7.09 (2 H, dd, $J=8.2$, 2.2 Hz, H-3), 6.89 (2 H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, H-2), 5.95 (4 H, m, H-8/8'), 5.07 $(8 \text{ H}, \text{ m}, \text{ H-9}/9), 3.41 (2 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, J=6.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-7}), 3.36 (4 \text{ H}, \text{ d},$ $J = 6.7$ Hz, H-7).

We thank the CRCG for funding this research.

Received, 26th February 1998; Accepted, 11th May 1998 Paper E/8/01620H

References

- 1 L.-K. Sy, R. M. K. Saunders and G. D. Brown, Phytochemistry, 1997, 44, 1099.
- 2 I. Kouno, T. Morisaki, Y. Hara and C.-S. Yang, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1991, 39, 2606.
- 3 I. Kouno, A. Hashimoto, N. Kawano and C.-S. Yang, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1989, 37, 1291.
- 4 I. Kouno, C. Iwamoto, Y. Kameda, T. Tanaka and C.-S. Yang, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1994, 42, 112.
- 5 L.-K. Sy and G. D. Brown, Phytochemistry, 1996, 43, 1417 (see also erratum in Phytochemistry, 1997, 45, 211).
- 6 I. Kouno, Y. Yanagida, S. Shimono, M. Shintomi, Y. Ito and C.-S. Yang, Phytochemistry, 1993, 32, 1573.
- 7 O. R. Gottlieb, Phytochemistry, 1972, 11, 1537.
- 8 R. S. Ward, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1995, 12, 183 and preceding reviews.
- 9 R. S. Ward, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1982, 11, 75.
- 10 T. I. Ho, C. C. Tsau, D. L. Chen and F. C. Chen, Hua Hsueh, 1985, 43, 1.
- 11 M. R. Agharahimi and N. A. LeBel, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 1856.
- 12 M. Iguchi, A. Nishiyama, Y. Terada and S. Yamamura, Chem. Lett., 1978, 451.
- 13 M. Iguchi, A. Nishiyama, Y. Terada and S. Yamamura, Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 4511.
- 14 J. B. Press, Synth. Commun., 1977, 9, 407.
- 15 H. Erdtman and J. Runeberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 1957, 11, 1060.
- 16 C. G. Haynes, A. H. Turner and W. A. Waters, J. Chem. Soc., 1956, 2823.